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## The $X X Z$ spin-1/2 Heisenberg chain

1. Periodic chain.

Hamiltonian
$H_{\mathrm{bulk}}=\sum_{m=1}^{L}\left(\sigma_{m}^{x} \sigma_{m+1}^{x}+\sigma_{m}^{y} \sigma_{m+1}^{y}+\Delta\left(\sigma_{m}^{z} \sigma_{m+1}^{z}-1\right)\right)$
$\Delta=\cosh \zeta$ - anisotropy Periodic boundary conditions: $\sigma_{L+1}=\sigma_{1}$.
2. Open chain.

$$
H=\sum_{m=1}^{L-1}\left(\sigma_{m}^{x} \sigma_{m+1}^{x}+\sigma_{m}^{y} \sigma_{m+1}^{y}+\Delta\left(\sigma_{m}^{z} \sigma_{m+1}^{z}-1\right)\right)+h_{-} \sigma_{1}^{z}+h_{+} \sigma_{L}^{z}
$$

$h_{ \pm}$- boundary fields.
We consider $\Delta>1$ - massive antiferromagnetic regime, $\Delta=\cosh \zeta$

## Form Factors

The main question: systematic computation of the form-factors in the thermodynamic limit from the Algebraic Bethe ansatz

Form factors: matrix elements of local fields, local spin operators $\sigma_{m}^{a}, a=x, y, z$
$\left|\Psi_{g}\right\rangle$ the ground state of the model $\left|\Psi_{e}\right\rangle$ - an excited state
The most basic form factors

$$
\left|\mathcal{F}_{a}\left(\Psi_{e}\right)\right|^{2}=\frac{\left\langle\Psi_{g}\right| \sigma_{m}^{a}\left|\Psi_{e}\right\rangle\left\langle\Psi_{e}\right| \sigma_{m}^{a}\left|\Psi_{g}\right\rangle}{\left\langle\Psi_{g} \mid \Psi_{g}\right\rangle\left\langle\Psi_{e} \mid \Psi_{e}\right\rangle}
$$

## Boundary overlaps

Quench: dynamics of a system after abrupt change of one parameter.
We change one boundary field $h_{-} \longrightarrow \widetilde{h}_{-}$. Local change, but can drastically modify the ground state (globally).
$|\Psi\rangle$ the ground state before the change of field $|\widetilde{\Psi}\rangle$ - ground state after the change of field.

The most basic overlap (gives for example the dominant term for the Loschmidt echo): scalar product of ground states.

$$
|\mathcal{F}|^{2}=\frac{\langle\Psi \mid \widetilde{\Psi}\rangle\langle\widetilde{\Psi} \mid \Psi\rangle}{\langle\Psi \mid \Psi\rangle\langle\widetilde{\Psi} \mid \widetilde{\Psi}\rangle}
$$

## XXZ chain: Algebraic Bethe ansatz

L.D. Faddeev, E.K. Sklyanin, L.A. Takhtajan (1979). Main object: quantum monodromy matrix:

$$
T_{a}(\lambda)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
A(\lambda) & B(\lambda) \\
C(\lambda) & D(\lambda)
\end{array}\right)_{a} .
$$

- Diagonal elements $\longrightarrow$ commuting conserved charges: transfer matrix

$$
\mathcal{T}(\lambda)=\operatorname{tr}_{a} T_{a}(\lambda)=A(\lambda)+D(\lambda), \quad[\mathcal{T}(\lambda), \mathcal{T}(\mu)]=0
$$

- Hamiltonian:

$$
H=\left.c \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} \log \mathcal{T}(\lambda)\right|_{\lambda=\frac{i \zeta}{2}}, \quad[H, \mathcal{T}(\lambda)]=0
$$

- Non-diagonal elements $\longrightarrow$ creation/annihilation operators.


## Bethe states

Ferromagnetic state: $|0\rangle=|\uparrow \uparrow \ldots \uparrow\rangle, A(\lambda)|0\rangle=a(\lambda)|0\rangle, D(\lambda)|0\rangle=d(\lambda)|0\rangle$. Off-shell Bethe states: $\left|\Psi\left(\left\{\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{N}\right\}\right)\right\rangle=B\left(\lambda_{1}\right) \ldots B\left(\lambda_{N}\right)|0\rangle$.
For any Bethe state we define Baxter polynomial and exponential counting function

$$
q(\lambda)=\prod_{j=1}^{N} \sin \left(\lambda-\lambda_{j}\right), \quad \mathfrak{a}(\lambda)=\frac{a(\lambda)}{d(\lambda)} \frac{q(\lambda+i \zeta)}{q(\lambda-i \zeta)}
$$

if the Bethe equations are satisfied (on-shell Bethe state)

$$
\mathfrak{a}\left(\lambda_{j}\right)+1=0, \quad j=1, \ldots N
$$

then it is an eigenstate of the transfer matrix and the Hamiltonian

$$
\mathcal{T}(\mu)|\Psi(\{\lambda\})\rangle=\tau(\mu)|\Psi(\{\lambda\})\rangle, \quad \tau(\mu)=(\mathfrak{a}(\mu)+1) \frac{q(\mu-i \zeta)}{q(\mu)}
$$

## Open spin chain, Algeraic Bethe ansatz

Boundary matrices satisfying reflection equation (Cherednik 1984)

$$
R_{12}(\lambda-\mu) K_{1}(\lambda) R_{12}(\lambda+\mu) K_{2}(\mu)=K_{2}(\mu) R_{12}(\lambda+\mu) K_{1}(\lambda) R_{12}(\lambda-\mu) .
$$

We consider only diagonal solution: $K(\lambda)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}\sinh (\lambda+\xi-1 \zeta / 2) & 0 \\ 0 & \sinh (\xi-\lambda-i \zeta / 2)\end{array}\right)$,
Algebraic Bethe Ansatz, Sklyanin 1988, Double row monodromy matrices:
$T(\lambda)$-usual monodromy matrix, $\widehat{T}(\lambda)=\sigma_{0}^{y} T^{t_{0}}(-\lambda) \sigma_{0}^{y}$ returned monodromy matrix.

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathcal{U}_{-}(\lambda)=T(\lambda) K_{-}(\lambda) \widehat{T}(\lambda)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\mathcal{A}_{-}(\lambda) & \mathcal{B}_{-}(\lambda) \\
\mathcal{C}_{-}(\lambda) & \mathcal{D}_{-}(\lambda)
\end{array}\right), \\
\left.\mathcal{U}_{+}^{t_{0}}(\lambda)=T^{t_{0}}(\lambda) K_{+}^{t_{0}}(\lambda) \widehat{T}^{t_{0}} \lambda\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\mathcal{A}_{+}(\lambda) & \mathcal{C}_{+}(\lambda) \\
\mathcal{B}_{+}(\lambda) & \mathcal{D}_{+}(\lambda)
\end{array}\right),
\end{gathered}
$$

## Algebraic Bethe Ansatz, open chain

1. Transfer matrix:

$$
\mathcal{T}(\lambda)=\operatorname{tr}_{0}\left\{K_{+}(\lambda) \mathcal{U}_{-}(\lambda)\right\}=\operatorname{tr}_{0}\left\{K_{-}(\lambda) \mathcal{U}_{+}(\lambda)\right\} .
$$

$$
[\mathcal{T}(\lambda), \mathcal{T}(\mu)]=0
$$

2. Hamiltonian:

$$
\begin{aligned}
H & =c \frac{d}{d \lambda} \mathcal{T}(\lambda)_{\left.\right|_{\lambda=-i \zeta / 2}}+\text { constant. } \\
h_{ \pm} & =-\sinh \zeta \operatorname{coth} \xi_{ \pm}
\end{aligned}
$$

3. Bethe states, Baxter polynomials:

$$
\left|\psi_{+}(\{\lambda\})\right\rangle=\prod_{k=1}^{N} \mathcal{B}_{+}\left(\lambda_{j}\right)|0\rangle, \quad \mathcal{Q}(\lambda)=\prod_{j=1}^{N} \sin \left(\lambda-\lambda_{j}\right) \sin \left(\lambda+\lambda_{j}\right)
$$

Note: operators $\mathcal{B}_{+}(\lambda)$ don't depend on $h_{-}$.

## Bethe equations

Counting function

$$
\mathfrak{A}(\lambda)=\frac{a(\lambda) d(-\lambda)}{d(\lambda) a(-\lambda)} \frac{\sin \left(\lambda+1 \xi_{+}+i \zeta / 2 \sin \left(\lambda+1 \xi_{-}+i \zeta / 2\right)\right.}{\sin \left(\lambda-1 \xi_{+}-i \zeta / 2 \sin \left(\lambda-1 \xi_{-}-i \zeta / 2\right)\right.} \frac{\mathcal{Q}(\lambda+i \zeta)}{\mathcal{Q}(\lambda-i \zeta)}
$$

if the parameters $\lambda$ satisfy the Bethe equations:

$$
\mathfrak{A}\left(\lambda_{j}\right)=1
$$

$\left|\psi_{+}(\{\lambda\})\right\rangle$ is an eigenstate of the transfer matrix $\mathcal{T}(\mu):$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathcal{T}(\mu)\left|\psi_{+}(\{\lambda\})\right\rangle=\tau\left(\mu,\left\{\lambda_{j}\right\}\right)\left|\psi_{+}(\{\lambda\})\right\rangle, \\
\tau(\mu)=\left(\mathfrak{A}(\mu) \frac{\sin (2 \mu+i \zeta)}{\sin (2 \mu-i \zeta)}+1\right) \frac{\mathcal{Q}(\mu-i \zeta)}{\mathcal{Q}(\mu)} .
\end{gathered}
$$

## Scalar products and norms, periodic case

N. Slavnov, 1989: $\left\{\lambda_{1}, \ldots \lambda_{N}\right\}$ - solution of Bethe equations, $\left\{\mu_{1}, \ldots \mu_{N}\right\}$ - generic

$$
\begin{aligned}
\langle\Psi(\{\mu\}) \mid \Psi(\{\lambda\})\rangle & =\frac{\prod_{k=1}^{N} q\left(\mu_{k}-i \zeta\right)}{\prod_{j>k} \sin \left(\lambda_{j}-\lambda_{k}\right) \sin \left(\mu_{k}-\mu_{j}\right)} \operatorname{det}_{N} \mathcal{M}(\{\lambda\} \mid\{\mu\}) \\
\mathcal{M}_{j, k}(\{\lambda\} \mid\{\mu\}) & =\mathfrak{a}\left(\mu_{k}\right) t\left(\lambda_{j}-\mu_{k}\right)-t\left(\mu_{k}-\lambda_{j}\right), \quad t(\lambda)=\frac{i \sinh \zeta}{\sin \lambda \sin (\lambda-i \zeta)}
\end{aligned}
$$

Norms of the on-shell Bethe states are given by the Gaudin formula

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\langle\Psi(\{\lambda\}) \mid \Psi(\{\lambda\})\rangle=(-1)^{N} \frac{\prod_{j=1}^{N} q\left(\lambda_{j}-i \zeta\right)}{\prod_{j \neq k} \sin \left(\lambda_{j}-\lambda_{k}\right)} \operatorname{det} \mathcal{N}(\{\lambda\}) \\
& \mathcal{N}_{j, k}(\{\lambda\})=\mathfrak{a}^{\prime}\left(\lambda_{j}\right) \delta_{j, k}-K\left(\lambda_{j}-\lambda_{k}\right), \quad K(\lambda)=t(\lambda)+t(-\lambda)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Computation of determinants

N.K. Maillet Terras '99: quantum inverse problem, we know that the computation of form factors can be reduced to the scalar products.

$$
\begin{aligned}
S(\{\lambda\} \mid\{\mu\}) & \equiv \frac{\langle\Psi(\{\mu\}) \mid \Psi(\{\lambda\})\rangle\langle\Psi(\{\lambda\}) \mid \Psi(\{\mu\})\rangle}{\langle\Psi(\{\lambda\}) \mid \Psi(\{\lambda\})\rangle\langle\Psi(\{\mu\}) \mid \Psi(\{\mu\})\rangle} \\
& =\prod_{j=1}^{N} \frac{q_{\lambda}\left(\mu_{j}\right) q_{\mu}\left(\lambda_{j}\right)}{q_{\lambda}\left(\lambda_{j}\right) q_{\mu}\left(\mu_{j}\right)} \cdot \frac{\operatorname{det} \mathcal{M}(\{\lambda\} \mid\{\mu\}) \operatorname{det} \mathcal{M}(\{\mu\} \mid\{\lambda\})}{\operatorname{det} \mathcal{N}(\{\lambda\}) \operatorname{det} \mathcal{N}(\{\mu\})} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The main idea is extremely simple: we compute the following matrices from a system of linear equations

$$
\begin{gathered}
F_{\lambda}=\mathcal{N}^{-1}(\{\lambda\}) \mathcal{M}(\{\lambda\} \mid\{\mu\}), \quad F_{\mu}=\mathcal{N}^{-1}(\{\mu\}) \mathcal{M}(\{\mu\} \mid\{\lambda\}), \\
\mathfrak{a}_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(\lambda_{j}\right) F_{\lambda_{j, k}}-\sum_{a=1}^{N} K\left(\lambda_{j}-\lambda_{a}\right) F_{\lambda_{a, k}}=\mathfrak{a}_{\lambda}\left(\mu_{k}\right) t\left(\lambda_{j}-\mu_{k}\right)-t\left(\mu_{k}-\lambda_{j}\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

We set

$$
\mathfrak{a}_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(\lambda_{j}\right) F_{\lambda j, k}=G_{\lambda}\left(\lambda_{j} ; \mu_{k}\right)
$$

Linear equations $\longrightarrow$ Contour integral equation for a meromorphic function $G_{\lambda}(\lambda ; \mu)$

$$
G_{\lambda}\left(\lambda ; \mu_{k}\right)-\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \oint_{\Gamma} d \nu K(\lambda-\nu) \frac{G_{\lambda}\left(\nu ; \mu_{k}\right)}{1+\mathfrak{a}_{\lambda}(\nu)}=\left(\mathfrak{a}_{\lambda}\left(\mu_{k}\right)+1\right) t\left(\lambda-\mu_{k}\right),
$$



We set

$$
G_{\lambda}(\lambda ; \mu)=\left(1+\mathfrak{a}_{\lambda}(\mu)\right) \rho_{\lambda}(\lambda ; \mu)
$$

Thermodynamic limit $\longrightarrow$ Integral equation

$$
\rho_{\lambda}(\lambda ; \mu)+\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{-\pi / 2+i 0}^{\pi / 2+i 0} d \nu K(\lambda-\nu) \rho_{\lambda}(\nu ; \mu)=t(\lambda-\mu)
$$

Lieb equation for the density of Bethe roots! $\longrightarrow$ elliptic Cauchy determinant

$$
F_{\lambda_{j, k}}=\frac{\mathfrak{a}_{\lambda}\left(\mu_{k}\right)+1}{\mathfrak{a}_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(\lambda_{j}\right)} \cdot \frac{\left(q^{2}, q^{2}\right)_{\infty}}{\left(-q^{2}, q^{2}\right)_{\infty}} \cdot \frac{\vartheta_{2}\left(\mu_{k}-\lambda_{j}, q\right)}{\vartheta_{1}\left(\mu_{k}-\lambda_{j}, q\right)}, \quad q=e^{-\zeta}
$$

## XXX case: 2-spinon form factor

N.K. G. Kulkarni '19: Matrix element of $\sigma_{z}$ between the ground state of the XXX chain and a state with 2 holes (spinons) $\mu_{h_{1}}$ and $\mu_{h_{2}}$

Final result for the form factor:
$\left|\mathcal{Y}\left(\mu_{h_{1}}-\mu_{h_{2}}\right)\right|^{2}=\lim _{M \rightarrow \infty} M^{2}\left|\mathcal{F}_{z}\right|^{2}=\frac{2}{G^{4}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)}\left|\frac{G\left(\frac{\mu_{h_{1}}-\mu_{h_{2}}}{2 i}\right) G\left(1+\frac{\mu_{h_{1}}-\mu_{h_{2}}}{2 i}\right)}{G\left(\frac{1}{2}+\frac{\mu_{h_{1}}-\mu_{h_{2}}}{2 i}\right) G\left(\frac{3}{2}+\frac{\mu_{h_{1}}-\mu_{h_{2}}}{2 i}\right)}\right|^{2}$.
Where $G(z)$ iz the Barnes $G$-function (related to the double $\Gamma$-function).

$$
G(z+1)=\Gamma(z) G(z), \quad G(1)=1 .
$$

This reproduces the result for the two-spinon form factor obtained in the $q$-vertex operator framework from the M. Jimbo and T. Miwa multiple integral formulas by A. H. Bougourzi, M. Couture and M. Kacir

## Open chain: scalar products and norms

$$
\begin{aligned}
S(\{\lambda\} \mid\{\mu\}) & \equiv \frac{\langle\Psi(\{\mu\}) \mid \Psi(\{\lambda\})\rangle\langle\Psi(\{\lambda\}) \mid \Psi(\{\mu\})\rangle}{\langle\Psi(\{\lambda\}) \mid \Psi(\{\lambda\})\rangle\langle\Psi(\{\mu\}) \mid \Psi(\{\mu\})\rangle} \\
& =\prod_{j=1}^{N} \frac{\mathcal{Q}_{\lambda}\left(\mu_{j}\right) \mathcal{Q}_{\mu}\left(\lambda_{j}\right)}{\mathcal{Q}_{\lambda}\left(\lambda_{j}\right) \mathcal{Q}_{\mu}\left(\mu_{j}\right)} \cdot \frac{\operatorname{det} \mathcal{M}(\{\lambda\} \mid\{\mu\}) \operatorname{det} \mathcal{M}(\{\mu\} \mid\{\lambda\})}{\operatorname{det} \mathcal{N}(\{\lambda\}), \operatorname{det} \mathcal{N}(\{\mu\})} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Slavnov matrix;
$\mathcal{M}_{j, k}(\{\lambda\} \mid\{\mu\})=\mathfrak{A}_{\lambda}\left(\mu_{k}\right) t\left(\left(-\mu_{k}+\lambda_{j}\right)-t\left(-\mu_{k}-\lambda_{j}\right)\right)+t\left(\mu_{k}-\lambda_{j}\right)-t\left(\mu_{k}+\lambda_{j}\right)$,
Gaudin matrix

$$
\mathcal{N}_{j, k}(\{\lambda\})=\mathfrak{A}_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(\lambda_{j}\right) \delta_{j, k}-K\left(\lambda_{j}-\lambda_{k}\right)+K\left(\lambda_{j}+\lambda_{k}\right)
$$

## Computation of determinants: open case

Same idea as in the periodic case

$$
F_{\lambda}=\mathcal{N}^{-1}(\{\lambda\}) \mathcal{M}(\{\lambda\} \mid\{\mu\}), \quad F_{\mu}=\mathcal{N}^{-1}(\{\mu\}) \mathcal{M}(\{\mu\} \mid\{\lambda\})
$$

Linear equations $\longrightarrow$ Contour integral equation $\longrightarrow$ Linear integral equation

$$
\rho_{\lambda}(\lambda ; \mu)+\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{-\pi / 2+i 0}^{\pi / 2+i 0} d \nu K(\lambda-\nu) \rho_{\lambda}(\nu ; \mu)=t(\lambda-\mu)+t(\lambda+\mu)
$$

Solution:

$$
F_{\lambda_{j, k}}=\frac{\mathfrak{A}_{\lambda}\left(\mu_{k}\right)-1}{\mathfrak{A}_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(\lambda_{j}\right)} \cdot \frac{\left(q^{2}, q^{2}\right)_{\infty}}{\left(-q^{2}, q^{2}\right)_{\infty}}\left(\frac{\vartheta_{2}\left(\lambda_{j}-\mu_{k}, q\right)}{\vartheta_{1}\left(\lambda_{j}-\mu_{k}, q\right)}+\frac{\vartheta_{2}\left(\mu_{k}+\lambda_{j}, q\right)}{\vartheta_{1}\left(\mu_{k}+\lambda_{j}, q\right)}\right)
$$

Once again Cauchy determinant

## Cauchy determinant: open case

We use the following notations:

- ratio of the transfer matrix eigenvalues

$$
\chi(\lambda)=\frac{\tau\left(\lambda,\left\{\mu_{j}\right\}\right)}{\tau\left(\lambda,\left\{\lambda_{j}\right\}\right)}
$$

- and the following function

$$
\varphi(\lambda, q)=\frac{\vartheta_{1}(\lambda, q)}{\sin \lambda}
$$

Then we express the overlap as follows
$S(\{\lambda\} \mid\{\mu\})=\prod_{j=1}^{N} \frac{\chi\left(\lambda_{j}\right)}{\chi\left(\mu_{j}\right)} \prod_{j, k=1}^{N} \frac{\varphi\left(\lambda_{j}-\lambda_{k}, q\right) \varphi\left(\mu_{j}-\mu_{k}, q\right) \varphi\left(\lambda_{j}+\lambda_{k}, q\right) \varphi\left(\mu_{j}+\mu_{k}, q\right)}{\varphi^{2}\left(\lambda_{j}-\mu_{k}\right) \varphi^{2}\left(\lambda_{j}+\mu_{k}\right)}$
It remains to fix the two states and compute products in the thermodynamic limit.

## Ground states

Configurations of the Bethe roots in the ground state depends on the boundary magnetic fields: $h_{-}=-\sinh \zeta \operatorname{coth} \xi_{-}$(first site) and $h_{+}=-\sinh \zeta \operatorname{coth} \xi_{+}$(last site). There are several cases leading to different structures of the ground state (S. Grijalva, J. Di Nardis, V. Terras '19).

We consider 3 most important situations. We limit our analysis to the case $h_{-}>h_{+}$.

- $\Delta-1<h_{-}<\Delta+1$ : All $L / 2$ the roots are real distributed with a density given by the Lieb equation.
- $0<h_{-}<\Delta-1$. $L / 2-1$ real roots and a boundary root $\lambda_{\mathrm{BR}}=-i\left(\zeta / 2+\zeta_{-}\right)+O\left(L^{-\infty}\right)$
- $h_{+}<\Delta-1, \Delta+1<h_{-}: L / 2-1$ real roots and a boundary root $\lambda_{\mathrm{BR}}$

We change one field $h_{-} \longrightarrow \widetilde{h}_{-}, \xi_{-} \longrightarrow \widetilde{\xi}_{-}$.

## Final result: only real roots

Notations: $q=e^{-\zeta}, p=e^{-2 \xi_{-}}, \widetilde{p}=e^{-2 \widetilde{\xi}_{-}}$.

$$
S(\{\lambda\} \mid\{\mu\})=\frac{F^{2}\left(q^{4} p \widetilde{p}\right)}{F\left(q^{4} p^{2}\right) F\left(q^{4} \widetilde{p}^{2}\right)}, \quad F(u)=\prod_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\left(u q^{4 n+4}, q^{4}\right)_{\infty}}{\left(u q^{4 n+2}, q^{4}\right)_{\infty}}
$$



Final result: one boundary complex root

$$
S(\{\lambda\} \mid\{\mu\})=\frac{F^{2}\left(p^{-1} \widetilde{p}^{-1}\right)}{F\left(p^{-2}\right) F\left(\widetilde{p}^{-2}\right)}
$$



## Conclusion and outlook

Advantages of the new approach:

- Explicit results, no Fredholm determinants.
- We know how to deal with complex roots
- Possibility to apply in a systematic way for all the regimes of the XXZ chain, periodic case, open case etc.

Open problems:

- Can we apply this method far from the ground state?
- Impurities, non-local quenches?


## Happy birthday, Fedor!
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